

REMARKS

In the Office Action, dated September 22, 2005, the Examiner states that Claims 1-15 are pending and Claims 1-15 are rejected. By the present Amendment, Applicant amends the specification, the claims, and the drawings.

In the Office Action, Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite. The Applicant has amended those claims to clarify the indefinite limitations.

In the Office Action, Claims 1, 2, 7-9 and 11 are rejected as being unpatentable over the cited prior art. However, Claims 3-6, 10 and 12-15 are indicated as containing allowable subject matter. The Applicant has amended independent Claim 1 to incorporate the limitations of Claims 2 and 4 to overcome this rejection.

Figure 2 has been amended to remove the redundant axes A and C. No new matter has been added.

In light of the foregoing response, all the outstanding objections and rejections are considered overcome. Applicant respectfully submits that this application should now be in condition for allowance and respectfully requests favorable consideration.

Respectfully submitted,



Attorney for Applicant
Brian W. Hameder
c/o Ladas & Parry LLP
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 427-1300
Reg. No. 45613

December 22, 2005
Date